

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,637	11/14/2003	Robert J. Dunki-Jacobs	END-5240	2410
27777 7	/590 . 06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JC		JUNG, WILLIAM C		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			3737	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,637	DUNKI-JACOBS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William Jung	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply		correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	•
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23022004, 27082004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/713,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kovacs et al* (US 5,833,603).

Kovacs et al anticipate all claimed features in claims 11-27.

Claims 11 and 12: Kovac et al disclose a system and method for detecting tissues comprising a capsule comprising a detector, a substance for associating with a target tissue where the substance is capable of being detected by the detector and a machine for verifying at least one of the detector and substance are suitable for use (col. 3, line 10 – col. 4, line 59; col. 6, lines 8-56)..

Claims 13-18: Kovacs et al further disclose the method above where steps of verifying at least one component and concentration (amount of chemical or biochemical substance) of the physical properties of the tissue, cell, and biochemical components of region of interest.

Although, Kovacs et al do not explicitly state that the detection substance is a monoclonal body, peptide, nanoparticle, mRNA and DNS corresponding to a generic monoclonal antibody, and liposome, these are inherent properties of biochemical composition of the tissues and cells (col. 6, lines 26-36).

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 19-23: Kovacs et al disclose that the biosensor detects energy spectra via optical or photosensor, which is used along with dye to acquire optical radiation. Although Kovacs et al do not explicitly state use or radioisotopes, the dye solution with radiation optical acquisition is inherent that the dye solution must be radioactive or radioisotopes (col. 1, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 34-44; col. 5, lines 5-26).

Claims 24-27: Kovacs et al further discloses the method above where the sensor is a spectrophotometer acquiring multiple images of data from a region of interest with predetermine spectrum, wavelengths, and position to detect optical spectrum, i.e. spatial response pattern (col. 1,line 66 – col. 2, line 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kovac et al* (US 5,833,603) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of *Iddan et al* (US 5,604,531).

Kovacs et al substantially anticipate all claimed features in claims 1-10. Kovac et al disclose a system for detecting tissues comprising a capsule comprising a detector, a substance for associating with a target tissue where the substance is capable of being detected by the detector and a machine for verifying at least one of the detector and substance are suitable for use (col. 3, line 10 – col. 4, line 59; col. 6, lines 8-56). In addition, Kovacs et al disclose that the capsule includes multiple detectors, a radiation detector, magnetic detector, and single analyzer

Application/Control Number: 10/713,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

for each detector (col. 4, lines 35-44). Although Kovacs et al disclose implantation of the sensor device, Kovacs et al do not disclose that the capsule is a swallowable or that the capsule material is coated to allow the capsule to goes through the gastro-intestinal (GI) tract. However, Kovacs et al's deficiency is well known in the art where Iddan et al teaches a similar capsule detector where the device is swallowable and coated with material to allow the detector to pass through the GI tract (col. 1, lines 34-40; col. 3, line 8 – col. 5, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kovacs et al's teachings as described above with Iddan et al's device designed to be swallow through the GI tract to achieve the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Casper et al (US 5,167,626)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,637

Art Unit: 3737

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

いり May 26, 2005

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700